



## Cambridge International AS Level

---

GERMAN

8683/02

Paper 2 Reading and Writing

May/June 2020

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 70

---

**Published**

Students did not sit exam papers in the June 2020 series due to the Covid-19 global pandemic.

This mark scheme is published to support teachers and students and should be read together with the question paper. It shows the requirements of the exam. The answer column of the mark scheme shows the proposed basis on which Examiners would award marks for this exam. Where appropriate, this column also provides the most likely acceptable alternative responses expected from students. Examiners usually review the mark scheme after they have seen student responses and update the mark scheme if appropriate. In the June series, Examiners were unable to consider the acceptability of alternative responses, as there were no student responses to consider.

Mark schemes should usually be read together with the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers. However, because students did not sit exam papers, there is no Principal Examiner Report for Teachers for the June 2020 series.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the June 2020 series for most Cambridge IGCSE™ and Cambridge International A & AS Level components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

---

This document consists of **11** printed pages.

## 1 Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

### GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

### GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

### GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

### GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

### GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

### GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

**2 General Marking Principles**

**2.1** Please note that it is not possible to list all acceptable alternatives in the Detailed Mark Scheme provided on the following pages. You will need to consider all alternative answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts, make a decision on whether they communicate the required elements, in consultation with the Principal Examiner if necessary, and award marks accordingly.

**2.2 Crossing out:**

- (a) If a candidate changes his/her mind over an answer and crosses out an attempt, award a mark if the final attempt is correct.
- (b) If a candidate crosses out an answer to a whole question but makes no second attempt at it, mark the crossed out work.

**2.3 Annotation used in marking:**

- (a) BOD = Benefit of the Doubt and is used to indicate material considered by the Examiner and judged to be more correct than incorrect: the benefit of the doubt is given to the candidate and the mark is awarded.
- (b) NBOD = No Benefit of the Doubt and is used to indicate material considered by the Examiner and judged to be more incorrect than correct: the benefit of the doubt is **not** given to the candidate and the mark is **not** awarded.
- (c) caret = to indicate where something which is key to the response is missing.

**2.4** No response and '0' marks

There is a NR (No Response) option in **scoris**.

Award NR (No Response):

- If there is nothing written at all in the answer space or
- If there is only a comment which does not in any way relate to the question being asked (e.g. 'can't do' or 'don't know') or
- If there is only a mark which isn't an attempt at the question (e.g. a dash, a question mark).

Award 0:

- If there is any attempt that earns no credit. This could, for example, include the candidate copying all or some of the question, or any working that does not earn any marks, whether crossed out or not.

**3 Detailed Mark Scheme****Section 1**

Question	Answer	Marks
<b>Question 1</b>		
Accept only answers which fit directly into the 'footprint' left by the original word – i.e. no additions, no deletions. Do not allow misspellings.		
1(a)	zahlreiche	1
1(b)	eine Untersuchung	1
1(c)	erklärt	1
1(d)	außerdem	1
1(e)	an der frischen Luft	1

Question	Answer	Marks
<b>Question 2</b>		
Allow one minor spelling mistake or incorrect accent which doesn't alter meaning.		
2(a)	leicht/leichter	1
2(b)	jeden Menschen	1
2(c)	gesund wird	1
2(d)	Hunde die Funktion des Trösters haben	1
2(e)	verantwortlich	1

Question	Answer	Marks
<b>Question 3</b>		
Reject direct lifts from the text.		
3(a)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>durch reine Anwesenheit</li> <li>durch das Streicheln/ durch einfachen Körperkontakt</li> </ul>	2
3(b)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>sind nicht einsam</li> <li>neigen weniger zu Depressionen</li> </ul>	2
3(c)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>man wird eher angesprochen</li> <li>man kann andere Hundebesitzer ansprechen/ einfacher, ein Gespräch zu beginnen</li> </ul>	2

Question	Answer	Marks
3(d)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gefühl gebraucht zu werden</li> <li>gibt ihrem Leben einen neuen Sinn</li> <li>kranke Menschen werden motiviert, wieder gesund zu werden</li> </ul>	3
3(e)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sie hören zu</li> <li>Sie widersprechen nicht</li> <li>Sie trösten durch Anwesenheit</li> </ul>	3
3(f)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>nein/ungleiche Beziehung</li> <li>Hund als Gesundheitsmacher</li> <li>der Hund braucht Aufmerksamkeit</li> </ul>	3

**Quality of Language – Accuracy (Questions 3, 4 and 5)****[5]**

<p><b>5 Very good</b> Consistently accurate. Only very few errors of minor significance. Accurate use of more complex structures (verb forms, tenses, prepositions, word order).</p>
<p><b>4 Good</b> Higher incidence of error than above, but clearly has a sound grasp of the grammatical elements in spite of lapses. Some capacity to use accurately more complex structures.</p>
<p><b>3 Sound</b> Fair level of accuracy. Common tenses and regular verbs mostly correctly formed. Some problems in forming correct agreement of adjectives. Difficulty with irregular verbs, use of prepositions.</p>
<p><b>2 Below average</b> Persistent errors in tense and verb forms. Prepositions frequently incorrect. Recurrent errors in agreement of adjectives.</p>
<p><b>0–1 Poor</b> Little or no evidence of grammatical awareness. Most constructions incomplete or incorrect. Consistent and repeated error.</p>

**Additional marking guidance for Quality of Language – Questions 3 and 4**

The five marks available for Quality of Language are awarded **globally** for the whole performance on each set of answers.

A concise answer, containing all mark-bearing components for content is scored on the full range of marks for language, i.e. length does not determine the Quality of Language mark.

**Answers scoring 0 for content** cannot contribute to the overall Quality of Language mark.

Identify the answer(s) scoring 0 for content in the whole set of answers. Then add together the number of Content marks available for each of these questions and reduce the Quality of Language mark according to the following table:

Total Content marks available on questions where a candidate scores 0	Reduce Quality of Language mark by:
2–3	1
4–5	2
6–7	3
8–14	4
15	5

**Note:** A minimum of one mark for Quality of Language should be awarded if there are any Content marks at all (i.e. 0 Language marks only if 0 Content marks).

[Total: 20]

Question	Answer	Marks
<b>Question 4</b>		
Mark as Question 3		
4(a)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Wollen den Anstieg von psychischen Erkrankungen im Arbeitsleben bekämpfen</li> <li>• Jeder dritte Angestellte leidet unter Stress</li> <li>• Mitarbeiter sind weniger Tage krank/senkt Kosten</li> </ul>	<b>3</b>
4(b)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• positive Wirkung</li> <li>• Umgang regt die Hormonproduktion an</li> <li>• Menschen werden zufriedener und glücklicher</li> <li>• Wohlbefinden steigt; Stresslevel sinkt</li> </ul> Any 3 of 4	<b>3</b>
4(c)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kollegen sind begeistert/ lächeln</li> <li>• Wollen mit ihm spielen, ihn streicheln</li> <li>• Werden einen Moment abgelenkt, aber sind glücklich</li> </ul>	<b>3</b>
4(d)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Unterbrechungen sind wichtig</li> <li>• senken den Stress</li> <li>• Steigern die Produktivität</li> <li>• Beleben die Konzentration wieder</li> </ul> Any 3 of 4	<b>3</b>
4(e)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Konten bei sozialen Netzwerken</li> <li>• Blogs geben witzige Einblicke in Büroleben</li> <li>• Firma gewinnt Bonuspunkte bei den Kunden/ gut fürs Image</li> </ul>	<b>3</b>

**Quality of Language – Accuracy (Questions 3, 4 and 5)****[5]**

<b>5 Very good</b> Consistently accurate. Only very few errors of minor significance. Accurate use of more complex structures (verb forms, tenses, prepositions, word order).
<b>4 Good</b> Higher incidence of error than above, but clearly has a sound grasp of the grammatical elements in spite of lapses. Some capacity to use accurately more complex structures.
<b>3 Sound</b> Fair level of accuracy. Common tenses and regular verbs mostly correctly formed. Some problems in forming correct agreement of adjectives. Difficulty with irregular verbs, use of prepositions.
<b>2 Below average</b> Persistent errors in tense and verb forms. Prepositions frequently incorrect. Recurrent errors in agreement of adjectives.
<b>0–1 Poor</b> Little or no evidence of grammatical awareness. Most constructions incomplete or incorrect. Consistent and repeated error.

**Additional marking guidance for Quality of Language – Questions 3 and 4**

The five marks available for Quality of Language are awarded **globally** for the whole performance on each set of answers.

A concise answer, containing all mark-bearing components for content is scored on the full range of marks for language, i.e. length does not determine the Quality of Language mark.

**Answers scoring 0 for content** cannot contribute to the overall Quality of Language mark.

Identify the answer(s) scoring 0 for content in the whole set of answers. Then add together the number of Content marks available for each of these questions and reduce the Quality of Language mark according to the following table:



Total Content marks available on questions where a candidate scores 0	Reduce Quality of Language mark by:
2–3	1
4–5	2
6–7	3
8–14	4
15	5

**Note:** A minimum of one mark for Quality of Language should be awarded if there are any Content marks at all (i.e. 0 Language marks only if 0 Content marks).

Question	Answer	Marks								
<b>Question 5</b>										
<b>Length of 5(a) + 5(b) (Summary and Personal Response)</b>										
Writing within the maximum length is part of the task, and candidates who remain within the limit (140 words in total) deserve credit.										
<b><u>Content marks: Summary</u></b>		[10]								
The summary could include the following points (award 1 mark for each point covered up to a maximum of 10 points):										
5	<table border="1"> <tr> <td><b><u>Vorteile für die Besitzer</u></b></td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>Körperliche Vorteile durch mehr Bewegung (Herz-Kreislauf)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Besseres Immunsystem</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Senken Blutdruck und Herzfrequenz durch Anwesenheit/Streicheln</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Gegen Einsamkeit</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Macht Sozialkontakte einfacher</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Gefühl gebraucht zu werden/Sinnstiftend</td> </tr> </table>	<b><u>Vorteile für die Besitzer</u></b>		Körperliche Vorteile durch mehr Bewegung (Herz-Kreislauf)	Besseres Immunsystem	Senken Blutdruck und Herzfrequenz durch Anwesenheit/Streicheln	Gegen Einsamkeit	Macht Sozialkontakte einfacher	Gefühl gebraucht zu werden/Sinnstiftend	
<b><u>Vorteile für die Besitzer</u></b>										
Körperliche Vorteile durch mehr Bewegung (Herz-Kreislauf)										
Besseres Immunsystem										
Senken Blutdruck und Herzfrequenz durch Anwesenheit/Streicheln										
Gegen Einsamkeit										
Macht Sozialkontakte einfacher										
Gefühl gebraucht zu werden/Sinnstiftend										

Question	Answer	Marks
5	<div data-bbox="331 264 815 297" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Hunde als Gesprächspartner/Tröster</div> <div data-bbox="331 416 592 450" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"><b><u>Vorteile Bürohund</u></b></div> <div data-bbox="331 568 930 602" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Weniger Krankheitstage/billiger für Arbeitgeber</div> <div data-bbox="331 640 727 674" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Menschen zufriedener/ruhiger</div> <div data-bbox="331 712 655 745" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Steigert die Produktivität</div> <div data-bbox="331 784 608 817" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">gut für Konzentration</div> <div data-bbox="331 855 627 889" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Besseres Arbeitsklima</div> <div data-bbox="331 927 584 960" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Bessere Motivation</div> <div data-bbox="331 999 619 1032" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Gut fürs Firmenimage</div>	

**Content marks: Response to the Text/ Persönlicher Standpunkt**

[5]

Marked like a mini-essay according to the variety and interest of the opinions and views expressed, the response to the original text stimulus and the ability to express a personal point of view.

<p><b>5 Very good</b> Varied and interesting ideas, showing an element of flair and imagination, a capacity to express a personal point of view.</p>
<p><b>4 Good</b> Not the flair and imagination of the best candidates, but work still shows an ability to express a range of ideas, maintain interest and respond to the issues raised.</p>
<p><b>3 Sound</b> A fair level of interest and ideas. May concentrate on a single issue, but there is still a response to ideas in the text.</p>
<p><b>2 Below average</b> Limited range of ideas; rather humdrum. May disregard the element of response to the text, and write a largely unrelated free-composition.</p>
<p><b>0–1 Poor</b> Few ideas to offer on the theme. Banal and pedestrian. No element of personal response to the text. Repeated error.</p>

**Quality of Language – Accuracy** (Questions 3, 4 and 5)

[5]

<b>5 Very good</b> Consistently accurate. Only very few errors of minor significance. Accurate use of more complex structures (verb forms, tenses, prepositions, word order).
<b>4 Good</b> Higher incidence of error than above, but clearly has a sound grasp of the grammatical elements in spite of lapses. Some capacity to use accurately more complex structures.
<b>3 Sound</b> Fair level of accuracy. Common tenses and regular verbs mostly correctly formed. Some problems in forming correct agreement of adjectives. Difficulty with irregular verbs, use of prepositions.
<b>2 Below average</b> Persistent errors in tense and verb forms. Prepositions frequently incorrect. Recurrent errors in agreement of adjectives.
<b>0–1 Poor</b> Little or no evidence of grammatical awareness. Most constructions incomplete or incorrect. Consistent and repeated error.

[Total: 20]